

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,642	08/13/2001	Andrew J. Walker	035905-0103	8019	
75	590 01/15/2003				
Harold C. Wegner		EXAMINER			
FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour			PERT, EVAN T		
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829	2829	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)
09/927,642 WALKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit
Evan T. Pert 2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 October 2002</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>50-68</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/927,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-49 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

However, applicant did not distinctly and/or specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, so the election has accordingly been treated as an election <u>without</u> traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. The claims pending for consideration in this application are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Generic Invention = "nonvolatile memory" with "driver circuit"

Species I (i.e. "first embodiment" per paragraph [0020]) **Generic Invention** + driver in SOI

Species II (i.e. "second embodiment" per paragraph [0029]) **Generic Invention** + driver in II-V, II-VI or IV-IV semiconductor substrate

Species III (i.e. "third embodiment" per paragraph [0032]) **Generic Invention** + antifuses

Species IV (i.e. "fourth embodiment" per paragraph [0060]) **Generic Invention** + 3D array of "rail stack EEPROMS"

Species V (i.e. "fifth embodiment" per paragraph [0063]) **Generic Invention** + 3D array of "two terminal pillar memory devices"

Species VI (i.e. "sixth embodiment" per paragraph [0065]) **Generic Invention** + 3D array of "three terminal pillar memory devices"

Species VII (i.e. "seventh embodiment" per paragraph [0069]) **Generic Invention** + 3D array of "TFT EEPROMS"

Species XIII (i.e. "eighth embodiment" per paragraph [0079]) **Generic Invention** + "charge storage" in "flash memory" with "FN tunneling"

Species IX (i.e. "ninth embodiment" per paragraph [0109])

Generic Invention + "driver comprises charge storage devices and antifuses"

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claim 1 is seemingly the most comprehensive, but does not generically encompass an embodiment of independent claim 41 where a driver circuit *could* be located in a bulk crystalline silicon substrate, *but* then positioned "above" another "monocrystalline silicon substrate"; this is a hypothetical embodiment which would be within the scope of claim 41, but not within the scope of comprehensive claim 1.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must</u> include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of <u>all</u> claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

<u>Upon the allowance of a generic claim</u>, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/927,642

Art Unit: 2829

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan T. Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,642

Art Unit: 2829

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ETP

January 11, 2003

EVAN PERT